Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): OR-118-09

Date: December 10, 2001
Creak 1710020207
Creek 1710030207 t Fork Cow Creek 1710030208
t Folk Cow Cleek 1/10030208
section(s) <u>31, 32,</u>
Section(s) 34, 28, 20,19
Section(s) 12
ection(s)
lection(s)
Section(s)
section(s)
section(s)

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

- ** To establish natural resource interpretive and educational opportunities for the general public.
- ** To promote economic stimulus in the small rural communities along the Cow Creek Byway.
- ** To create natural resource education opportunities for public schools in science related curriculums.
- ** To foster new and innovative partnerships which are mutually beneficial.
- ** To promote natural resource steward-ship and enhancement within Cow Creek rural communities.

10. Project Description:

The Cow Creek Byway (a nationally designated Back Country Byway) offers an alternate route for travelers along I-5 by forming a loop that leaves and enters the freeway near Riddle and Glendale. The 45 mile, two lane paved roadway offers a look at a variety of magnificent natural resource settings while meandering alongside beautiful Cow Creek. Interpretive opportunities abound on this route including unique geological formations, rare flora and fauna of the region as well as historic O&C original railroad grade, trestle and tunnels whose' construction dates back to 1883. The history of the area is also deeply rooted in pre-historic native American existence and examples of the early gold mining era.

Project Description Continued:

The Byway is co-located both on the Roseburg District Bureau of Land Management and the Medford District Bureau of Land Management Resource Areas. Both Districts have been working on the enhancing the opportunities along the Byway for many years. Multiple partnerships have already been formed in private and public sectors who have interest in the Byway and surrounding area.

The Roseburg District has already installed a Information Kiosk at the Riddle end of the Byway as well as created public day use facilities with restrooms and a recreational gold panning area in Cow Creek. The Medford District would like to continue the progression of this incredible interpretive opportunity through the installation of a Informational Kiosk at the Glendale end of the Byway, through the creation of three interpretive "turn-outs" alongside the Byway and Cow Creek, and the creation of natural resource/historic/and pre-historic brochures to be used by travelers and schools as self-guided tours of the area.

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s)	on adjacent lands	, '
--	-------------------	-----

☑ Yes ☐ No This project is coordinated with the Roseburg District of the Bureau of Land Management, Oregon State Department of Tourism, Douglas County, Glenbrook Nichol, City of Riddle, City of Glendale, Southern Pacific Railroad, and the Glendale Community Advisory Response Team.
12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
☐ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
□ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
□ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]
13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
□ Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
□ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
☐ Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
☐ Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
□ Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]
☐ Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
□ Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]
□ Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
\Box Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
\Box Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
\square _ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]
☑ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:
XX To improve cooperative relationships among the people that use and care for

- Federal lands and the agencies that manage these lands.
- XX To implement, within the public sector, steward-ship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems.

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expect	ed Outcomes [So	ec. 203(b)(5)]	
a. Total Acres:c. No. Structures:4	b. Total Miles:d. Estimated F	26 People Reached (fo	niles or natural
e. No. of Laborer Days: f. Other (specify): Economic benefits to so and Glendale rural areas due to increased visitation by and Josephine counties.	mall business loca		le/Tri City
15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Begin Date: March 2002		(2)]: August 2003 (or bet	fore)
16. Target Species Benefitted: (if applicable)	N/A		
The visiting public and local K-12th grade stude tour of the Byway, and through the use of the interpret aware of their roles in the management of natural resource be capable of providing this self-guided tour as an integrate scientific studies. Non-profit organizations as well as produced advantage of this interpretive and educational opportunity. 18. How is this project in the best public interest? The rural communities along the Byway and Cow Creet increased traffic flow of visitors to the area due to the nand of the ever-growing trend for the public to explore	ive and educationarces. Schools, Coral part of their cubrivate business waity by providing go [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Idea k will benefit ecorational marketing	al Brochures, become all Brochures, become all leges and University priculums on all level all also be encouraged and services to contify benefits to commically due to the of Back Country B	ne more ties will els of ed to take ustomers. communities?
driving tours and Back Country Byways. The schools it ease of proximity of the Byway and its educational opp	n the communities	will equally benefit	
19. How does project benefit federal lands/resour	rces?		
Through the use of interpretive signage and brochures the forests surrounding their homes and communities. I manage these precious lands and how they can assist in thousands of people will be introduced to a new sense	They will learn what the process. We	nat it takes to protect believe through th	et and
20. Status of Project Planning	▼7	V N (D. L.H.	CE . l.)
a. NEPA Complete:b. If No, give est. date of completion: <u>09/30/03</u>	Yes	X No (Probable	CE only)
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	□ Yes □ Yes	X No X No	
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:e. Survey & Manage Complete:	□ Yes	X No	
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:	□ Yes	X No	
g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:		X No	
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:i. Project Design(s) Completed:	□ Yes X Ye	X No s More than ½ co	ompleted
21 Proposed Mothod(s) of Accomplishment	A 10	5 Will Chan /2 C	pieteu

X Federal Workforce

X Contract

	\mathbf{X}	County Workforce	X Volunteers	Other (specify):
22.	Will th	e Project Generate	Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))	

☐ Yes ⋈ No (Recreational Use)

23.	Anticipated	Project Costs	[Sec.	203(b)(3)]
-----	-------------	----------------------	-------	------------

a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 69,000

b. Is this a multi-year funding request? \boxtimes Yes \square No If yes, then display by fiscal year

c. FY02 Request: \$ <u>34,500</u> f. FY05 Request: \$ _____ g. FY06 Request: \$ _____ g. FY06 Request: \$ _____

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$ 2,500.			\$2,500.
25. NEPA & Sec.7		\$ 2,500.		\$2,500.
26. Permit Acquisition		\$ 300.		\$ 300.
27. Project Design & Engineering	\$ 2,500.	\$ 5,000.		\$ 7,500.
28. Contract Preparation	\$ 500.	\$ 500.		\$ 1,000.
29. Contract Administration	\$ 2,000.	\$ 2,000.		\$ 4,000.
30. Contract Cost		\$35,700.		\$ 35,700.
31. Workforce Cost		\$ 3,000.		\$ 3,000.
32. Materials & Supplies		\$13,500.		\$ 13,500.
33. Monitoring		\$ 2,500.		\$ 2,500.
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal	\$ 7,500.	\$ 65,000.		\$ 72,500.
36. Indirect Costs		\$ 4,000.		\$ 4,000.
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$ 7,500.	\$ 69,000.	\$	\$ 76,500.

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)] None

Currently partnerships have been formed and the contributions from these partners towards the project have yet to be confirmed.

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6)

a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

The project will be measured through: 1) The successful construction and installation of three interpretive panels, one Kiosk and the construction of the associated vehicular road-side turn-outs. 2) The number of interpretive brochures created and distributed annually. 3) The increase in the number of visitors to the Byway as physically counted through the use of electronic traffic counters.

Both the Roseburg District and the Medford District of the Bureau of Land Management will work with the communities surrounding the Cow Creek Byway to assure monitoring is taking place.

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

The Bureau of Land Management will again work with the communities to monitor economic and other beneficial changes due to the project. One on one interviews will take place with small businesses and the public school systems to ascertain whether the project has been a positive influence towards increased tourism, local job production, and assisting teachers to implement their natural resource/scientific classroom curriculums. A annual evaluation of the results of this project will be included in the recreation report of the Glendale Resource Area of the Bureau of Land Management and an additional evaluation report will be submitted to the Resource Advisory Committee.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Not Applicable.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$ 2,500.00 will be needed to carry out the annual interviewing process of the residents in the communities along the Byway.